FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

TAMIL NADU POLICE
INTEGRATED INVESTIGATION FORM-I

முதல் தகவல் அறிக்கை 173 BNSS 9.3
(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)
(கு.ந.வி.தொ.பிரிவு 154 இன் கீழ்) C 8165185

1. District : CHENNAI PS DVAC Head Quarters Year: 2026 FIR No.: 01 Date 19.02.2026
மாவட்டம் காவல்நிலையம் ஆண்டு மு.த.அ. எண் நாள்

2. (i) Act சட்டம் Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 as amended in 2018. Sections பிரிவுகள் 13(2) r/w 13(1)(c) r/w 12 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 as amended by the PC (Amendment) Act, 2018.

(ii) Act சட்டம் Sections பிரிவுகள்

(iii) Act சட்டம் Indian Penal Code Sections பிரிவுகள் 120(B), 420, 409 r/w 109 IPC

(iv) Other Acts & Sections பிற சட்டங்களும், பிரிவுகளும் :

3. (a) Occurrence of Offence Day : Date from January Date to : May 2024
குற்ற நிகழ்வு நாள் நாள் முதல் 2019 நாள் வரை

Time Period : Time from : Time to :
நேர அளவு நேரம் முதல் நேரம் வரை

(b) Information Received at PS. Date : 18.02.2026 Time : 1730 hrs
காவல் நிலையத்திற்கு தகவல் கிடைத்த நாள் நேரம்

(c) General Diary Reference : Entry No(s) Time :
பொது நாட்குறிப்பில் பதிவு விவரம் எண் நேரம்

4. Type of Information : Written/ Oral : —
தகவலின் வகை : எழுத்து மூலம் / வாய் மொழியாக

5. Place of Occurrence (a) Direction and Distance from PS: About 381 Kms South East
குற்ற நிகழ்விடம் (அ) காவல்நிலையத்திலிருந்து எவ்வளவு தூரமும், எத்திசையும் Thiruvaiyaru Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

Beat Number : (b) Address :
முறைக் காவல் எண் முகவரி

(c) In case outside limit of this Police Station, then the Name of P.S : District :
இக்காவல் நிலைய எல்லைக்கப்பால் நடந்து இருக்குமாயின், அந்நிலையில் அந்த காநி.பெயர் மாவட்டம்

6. Complainant /Informant (a) Name : G. PUGAZHVENDHAN (b) Father's/ Husband's Name : R. GOVINDASAMY
குற்றமுறையீட்டாளர்/ தகவல் தந்தவர் பெயர் தந்தை / கணவர் பெயர்

(c) Date / Year of Birth : 1969 (d) Nationality : INDIAN (e) Passport No. :
நாள் / பிறந்த ஆண்டு நாட்டினம் வெளிநாட்டு கடவுச்சீட்டு எண்

Date of Issue : Place of Issue :
வழங்கப்பட்ட நாள் வழங்கப்பட்ட இடம்

(f) Occupation : Addl. Supdt of Police (g) Address : Cyber Cell, DVAC Head Quarters, Inchrge Special Investigation Cell-II, Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, Chennai-600016.
தொழில் முகவரி

7. Details of Known/Suspected/Unknown accused with full particulars. (Attach separate sheet if necessary)
தெரிந்த / ஐயப்பாட்டிற்குறிய / தெரியாத குற்றஞ்சாட்டப்பட்டவரின் முழுமையான விவரங்கள் (தேவையெனில் தனித்தாள் இணைக்கவும்) 1. P. Dhandayuthapani, Formerly RDO Pudukkottai. (Retd) 2. T. Murugesan, Formerly RDO Pudukkottai, 3. S. Suresh, Formerly Tahsildar, Thiruvaiyaru Taluk, Pudukkottai District. 4. R. Govindasamy, Private Individual and others.
(Details annexed in separate sheet)

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant / Informant:
குற்றமுறையீட்டாளரால் / தகவல் கொடுப்பவரால் முறையிட்டதில் தகவல் கொடுப்பதில் தாமதம்

—

9 Particulars of properties stolen / involved (Attach separate sheet if necessary)

—

10 Total value of properties stolen / involved

—

11 Inquest Report / Unnatural death Case No. if any

—

12 FIR Contents (Attach separate sheet, if required)

Separate sheet attached

13 Action taken : Since the above report reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at item No.2 registered the case and took up the investigation / directed Rank to take up the investigation / Refused Investigation / transferred to PS on point of jurisdiction

14 Signature / Thumb Impression of the Complainant / Informant

Signature of the Officer in-charge, Police Station

ADSP 19/02/2026

15 Date & Time of despatch to the court

19.02.2026

Name G.PUGAZHVENDAN
Rank Addl. Superintendent of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Cyber Cell, Headquarters,
Incharge Special Investigation Cell-II
Alandur, Chennai - 600 016.

Attachment to Column 12 of First Information Report in DVAC Head Quarters Cr.No.01/2026

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, PUDUKKOTTAI

AO-1 Tr.Dhandayuthapani, Formerly RDO Pudukkottai, Pudukkottai District (Retired). AO-2 Tr.Murugesan, Formerly RDO Pudukkottai, Pudukkottai. AO-3 Tr S. Suresh, Formerly Tahsildhar, Thirumayam taluk, Pudukkottai District. AO-4 Tr.R.Govindhasamy Private Individual and Others	Credible source of information received against marginally noted accused A.O-1, A.O-2, A.O-3, A.O-4 and Others reveal prima facie, a cognizable offence under Indian Penal Code,1860 and Prevention of Corruption Act 1988 as amended by the Prevention of Corruption Act 2018 . As per order in Government Letter (1D) No.470, Dated 17.07.2025 of Revenue and Disaster Management, Services wing, Ser.2(1) Section, Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009 and orders of Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, Dated:18.02.2026 registered this case in V&AC Headquarters, Cr.No.01/2026 under Sections 120(B), 420, 409 read with 109 of IPC, and Section 13(2) read with 13(1)(a) read with 12 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 as amended by the Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act, 2018 against the marginally noted A-1 to A-4 & others.

There is credible information that the above mentioned Accused Officers while working in Pudukkottai and in collusion with each other has obtained pecuniary advantage to drop the previous 9(2)(b) proceedings of the Assistant Commissioner of Land Reforms, Tiruchirappalli dated 02.08.2003 which identified the surplus lands of around 31.00 Standard Acres resumable to the Government under Land Reforms Act by an Endorsement dated 16.7.2019 and the Proceedings of Commissioner of Land Reforms G1/8512/2019(L-Ref) dated 11-05-2022 which set aside the Endorsement

dated 16.7.2019 and ordered the Revenue Divisional Officer ,Pudukkottai (Authorised officer under land ceiling Act) to resume the surplus land to an extent of 31.00 Standard Acres comprised in S.No. 278/1, 279, 280/1, 280/2, 273/7, 301, 302 and 302/2 at Puthunilaivayal village, Thirumayam Taluk in Pudukkottai District costing around Rupees 150 Crores to Government of Tamil Nadu as per statutory land ceiling proceedings pending since the year 2003 under Tamil Nadu Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling) Act 1961 read with 1970 and had voluntarily caused loss to the Government exchequer in many aspects.

In this connection it may be noted that originally land ceiling proceedings was initiated against the Surplus land of one Thiru.Venkatachala Iyer in the file of M.R.I 327/212 TM/ 58-61 under the 1961 ceiling Act and dealt with to declare the surplus land to be resumed to Govt.

After the demise of Thiru.Venkatachalam Iyer, land ceiling proceedings was continued against Thiru A.K. Kuttaiyan Chettiar who purchased the lands of Tr.Venkatachalam Iyer in Court Auction and thereafter, three successive Assistant Commissioners of Land reforms, Trichirappalli (the original parent department which dealt with land ceiling proceedings.) conducted enquiry and issued 9(2)(b) proceedings declaring surplus land and directed the land owner to inform the lands which he is going to surrender as Surplus lands to the Government.

The Tamil Nadu Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling on Land) Act, 1961; reads as thus:-

"9(2)(b) The authorized officer shall, as soon as may be, after obtaining the information under clause (a), give to the person concerned a reasonable opportunity of making his representation and of adducing evidence, if any, in respect of such information and consider any such representation and evidence and pass such orders as he deems fit."

The First Proceedings was issued by the Assistant Commissioner of Land Reforms, Trichy vide his proceedings File no. A3/MRI/166K/TMM/17-70 dated 8.8.2000 and declared that there is a surplus land measuring 27.342 Standard Acres to be resumed to the Government.

The Second Proceedings was issued by the second Assistant Commissioner of Land Reforms Trichy, vide in his proceedings File No. A3/MRI/166K/TMM/17-70 dated 12.06.2002 and declared that there is a surplus land measuring 29.171 Standard Acres to be resumed to the Government.

The Third Proceedings was issued by the third Assistant Commissioner vide his proceedings File No. A3/MRI/166K/TMM/17-70 dated 02.08.2003 and declared that there is surplus land measuring 31 Standard Acres of land and that the Land Owner was directed to offer the list of lands which he desires to offer and for being notified to be resumed to Government within 15 days and the then Assistant Commissioner of Land Reforms further informed that if the land owner failed to intimate the list of lands within the above said 15 days, the surplus lands of 31.00 standard acres will be selected under the provisions of section 10(3) of the Act and be resumed for the Government.

Tamil Nadu Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling on Land) Act, 1961; read as thus:-

"10(3) If any person has failed to specify the particulars of the land which he desires to retain within his ceiling area, the authorized officer shall, as far as practicable, specify in the draft statement, the land which is capable of easy and convenient enjoyment as the land to be retained by such person within his ceiling area."

As known above, three successive Assistant Commissioners of Land Reforms declared surplus lands and in each of the proceedings the quantum of surplus lands increased in every enquiry and all the Assistant Commissioners of Land Reforms determined the size of A.K.Kuttaiyan Chettiar family as 4 members and this fact was never disputed by either the Land Owner or his legal representatives appeared for Inquiry before the relevant Statutory Authorities.

At this Juncture, One Tr.R.Govindasamy claiming to be the Power of Attorney for the above said lands and started selling these lands concealing the fact that it is the lands under ceiling proceedings. Due to local people protest and petitions to the Government Authorities, it came to light that these lands have already been

determined and declared as surplus lands and proceedings to resume the lands to the Government is pending since the year 1961. Hence, One Tr.M.P.R.Muthiah petitioned the Government authorities and also approached the Hon'ble High Court and Consequently the Hon'ble High Court directed him to represent the Statutory Authorities.

Pursuant to this, the Authorised officer and Sub Collector, Pudukkottai vide her Memo dated Na.Ka.A2/709/2018 dated 08.06.2018 directed further enquiry and called for a report from the Thasildhar Thirumayam and also directed him to take action through Sub Registrar to restrain him from doing any Patta Transfer in the Ceiling lands. The Thasildhar intentionally kept this communication pending till the sub collector was transferred and also failed to write to sub registrar to avoid patta transfers in Ceiling lands. But after the Sub collector was transferred the then Thasildhar gave a misleading report suppressing the fact that the lands are under land ceiling proceedings to the Revenue Divisional Officer who is performing the role of Authorised Officer Under the Land ceiling Act.

Based on the report of the then Thasildhar Thirumayam and without examining the revenue documents and records available in the land ceiling files of then A.K.Kuttaiyan Chettiar, the then RDO Pudukkottai Tr.Dhandayuthapani, quashed this pending land ceiling proceedings vide Endorsement Na.Ka.No.A2/709/2019 dated 16.07.2019 for illegal gain and the then RDO acted in a manner detrimental to the public interest without examining the records as per the Act and that too for extraneous reasons to deprive the Government from resuming huge surplus lands of about 31.00 Standard Acres and thus illegally favoured the private individual one Thiru.R.Govindasamy. Both the then Thasildhar and RDO aided the private individual to sell the ceiling lands worth crores of rupees by way of more than 100 registered sale deeds in the Office of the Sub Registrar, Keelanilai in Pudukkottai Dist.

The Complainant Tr.M.P.R.Muthiah has given complaint to Commissioner of Land Reforms cum the then Additional Chief Secretary about the wrongful and illegal act of the RDO Tr.Dhandayuthapani and the then Commissioner of Land Reforms cum the then Additional Chief Secretary, stayed the Endorsement vide his Proceedings order G1/8512/2019 (L.Ref) dated 04.02.2020 and he directed the RDO, Pudukkottai

not to pass any further proceeding in this case and directed District Revenue Officer Pudukkottai to enquire the issue and submit a detailed report covering all the aspects contained in the complaint within 12 weeks.

Based on this direction the DRO Pudukkottai conducted an enquiry and submitted his report to Commissioner of Land Reforms. On receipt of this enquiry report the then Commissioner of Land Reforms conducted a detailed enquiry and held Ref.No.G1/8512/2019 (L.Ref.) dated: 11.05.2022 that this forum found that the endorsement passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Pudukkottai dated 16.07.2019 has infirmity and against the provisions of the act and thus it is quashed and also the Revenue Divisional Officer Pudukkottai is Directed to proceed with action u/s 9(2)(b) of the Act which has already been initiated against the holdings of the Tr.A.K.Kuttaiyan Chettiyar and pass necessary orders after perusal of the village accounts and other records and proceed as per the provisions of the Act.

Thereafter, Thiru. Murugesan, RDO, Pudukkottai, (i) intentionally suppressed the village accounts and other relevant records including the Survey Numbers in O.S Numbers of which AK Kuttaiyan Chettiar purchased the lands in court auction from Venkatachalam Iyer, (ii) intentionally suppressed the land ceiling file in which first land ceiling proceedings was initiated against Venkatachalam Iyer under 1961 Act itself, (iii) intentionally suppressed that the earlier three successive Authorised Officers (then Assistant Commissioners of parent department-Land Reforms Dept) held that there is surplus of about 31.00 Standard Acres, (iv) intentionally suppressed the number of family and surplus lands as per the provisions of the Land Reforms Act, (v) intentionally suppressed all the lands in the name of A.K.Kuttaiyan Chettiar in Pudunilaivayal as well as Embal Vattam and arriving at the surplus as per the cut off date viz 15.2.1970 mandated by the Act, (vi) intentionally avoided the land owner family and his lands in his Native Village Embal by summoning land owner or his legal heirs as per the rules and provisions of the Act, (vii) without examining that the Private Individual R.Govindasamy is not an authorised power of attorney for the land owner A.K.Kuttaiyan Chettiar and his legal heirs and (viii) Without issuing a revised notice under Section 9(2)(b) to the landowners as per the Act, conducted a roving enquiry himself with corrupt motive to unduly favour the Private Individual by intentionally

omitting to follow the essential rules and provisions of the Land Reforms Act in discharge of his duties and submitted a report Dt:26.12.2023 to the Land Commissioner stating that the ceiling land are not in the name of A.K.Kuttiyan Chettiyar and there is no surplus lands. He intentionally suppressed to scrutinize the pertinent court auction O.S Numbers and records, revenue records, and the number of families eligible for surplus land as per the Act. As a result, several acres of land in the name of A.K.Kuttaiyan Chettiyar, as well as in three earlier land ceiling proceedings where surplus land had been declared, were deliberately suppressed to benefit the Private Individual. He also deliberately and intentionally made a false final order dated 11.05.2022 of the Commissioner of Land Reforms as a petition enquiry, thereby favouring Tr.R.Govindasamy, who had already sold several acres of ceiling land worth several crores of rupees, despite not holding any valid power of attorney, as the original landowner had passed away many years ago.

The above facts suggest that Thiru.Dhandayuthapani who worked as RDO, Pudukkottai and Authorised officer under Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling) Act 1961 as amended during 08.03.2019 to 01.03.2021 with malafide intention and extraneous influence quashed the 9(2)(b) Proceedings and thus facilitated the lapsed power of attorney R Govindasamy to sell the ceiling lands defeating the objects and provisions of the Land Reforms Act.

Thiru.Murugesan who worked as RDO Pudukkottai Cum Authorised Officer during 05.09.2022 to 08.02.2024 under Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling) Act 1961 as amended despite the Proceedings of the Commissioner of Land Reforms dated 11.05.2022 attained finality, deliberately omitted certain survey numbers under ceiling proceedings and three earlier 9(2)(b) proceedings pending in this case, dishonestly failed to identify the number of family under the ceiling Act and instead of diligently performing the duty of preparing and publishing draft statement to carry out the purpose of the land ceiling Act intentionally sent a report declaring that there is no lands for AK Kuttaiyan Chettiar in the above survey numbers and also that there is no surplus lands in the case without examining the documents, OS Numbers details available in the enquiry file and thus favoured the private individual R Govindasamy.

Thiru S.Suresh who worked as Thasildhar, Thirumayam during 28.02.2019 to 20.07.2021 allowed patta transfers in the sale of ceiling lands knowingly and illegally favoured the private individual.

The above facts suggest that RDO Tr.Murugesan and RDO Tr.Dhandayuthapani, Tr.S.Suresh Thasildhar, Thirumayam and other officials colluded with Tr.R.Govindasamy causing a loss of approximately Rs.150 crores to the Government of Tamil Nadu by deliberately and intentionally obstructing the resumption of ceiling lands meant for public purposes by sending reports, issuing Patta to ceiling lands whenever it is sold by the Private Individual R.Govindasamy and colluded with him to usurp the ceiling lands depriving and defeating the policy laid down by the Legislature to distribute surplus lands to the landless poor. They wilfully failed to exercise their statutory duties under the Land Reforms Act/Rule and instead acted and colluded in favour of a private individual, Tr.R.Govindasamy, for personal gain. This conduct undermined the very objective of the Land Reforms Act, which aims to assign surplus land to the landless poor.

The actions of Thasildhar, Thirumayaam, RDOs, Pudukkottai being Public servants in this regard, raise serious concerns about their integrity, reputation, and good faith, and constitute and disclose prima facie that they had committed criminal conspiracy, criminal breach of trust by public servant and criminal misconduct and caused heavy loss to Government exchequer. The act of the Accused officers being the public servants by abusing their official position with vested interest, amounts to criminal offences punishable under sections 120B, 409 of IPC and section 13(2) r/w 13(1)(a) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 as amended by the Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act, 2018.

The Government Letter (1D) No. 470, Revenue and Disaster Management Department, Services Wing, Ser. 2(1), Chennai, dated 17.07.2025, and G.O. (D) No. 713, dated 19.11.2025, accorded permission as per the provisions of the Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act, 2018, by the Competent Authority against the accused AO-1 to AO-3. Consequently, the DVAC Headquarters has also accorded permission.

Therefore, I am registering a case at the DVAC Headquarters in Cr.No. 01/2026 under Sections 120(B), 420, 409 read with 109 of IPC, and Section 13(2) read with 13(1)(a) read with 12 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 as amended by the Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act, 2018 against A-1 to A-4 and others.

The original FIR is submitted to the Honourable Principal District and Sessions Judge, Pudukkottai and copies of the FIR are forwarded to the Higher Officers.

19/02/2026

G.PUGAZHVENDAN
Addl. Superintendent of Police,
Vigilance and Anti-Corruption,
Cyber Cell, Head Quarters,
Incharge Special Investigation Cell-II
Alandur, Chennai - 600 016.